## The History of Databases

The growing demand for data and better data accessibility has led to a surge in the amount and quality of data available to people and organizations, databases have become so common that organizations are structured to reflect the model of their data.

The history of databases is a tale of experts at different times attempting to make sense of complexity. As a result, the first information explosions of the early computer era left an enduring impact on how we think about structuring information.

The speed of today's data production is precipitated not from a sudden appearance of entirely new technologies but because the demand and accessibility has steadily risen through the strata of society as databases become more and more ubiquitous and essential to aspects of our daily lives.

Databases have existed for centuries: the maintenance of records and data has evolved from engravings to cards to digital storage. The history of databases gives us a view of the evolution of database models and the problems of each model. Each subsequent model was motivated by the limitations of previous models, the availability of new technology, the need to store and retrieve new types of data, or by the need to handle new volumes of data that exceeded the capabilities of current models.

- 1950s and early 1960s:
  - Data processing using magnetic tapes for storage
    - Tapes provided only sequential access
  - Punched cards for input
- Late 1960s and 1970s:
  - o Hard disks allowed direct access to data
  - o Network and hierarchical data models in widespread use
  - Ted Codd defines the relational data model
    - Would win the ACM Turing Award for this work
    - IBM Research begins System R prototype
    - UC Berkeley (Michael Stonebraker) begins Ingres prototype
    - Oracle releases first commercial relational database
  - High-performance (for the era) transaction processing
- 1980s:

- o Research relational prototypes evolve into commercial systems
  - SQL becomes industrial standard
- $\circ~$  Parallel and distributed database systems
  - Wisconsin, IBM, Teradata
- Object-oriented database systems
- 1990s:
  - o Large decision support and data-mining applications
  - Large multi-terabyte data warehouses
  - o Emergence of Web commerce
- 2000s
  - o Big data storage systems
    - Google BigTable, Yahoo PNuts, Amazon,
    - "NoSQL" systems.
  - Big data analysis: beyond SQL
    - Map reduce and friends
- 2010s
  - $\circ$  SQL reloaded
    - SQL front end to Map Reduce systems
    - Massively parallel database systems
    - Multi-core main-memory databases

## Unit Records & Punch Card Databases



Punched card reader (L) and writer ® | Image from <u>A Brief History of Communication Technology</u>.

The history of data processing is punctuated with many high water marks of data abundance. Each successive wave has been incrementally greater in volume, but all are united by the trope that data production exceeds what tabulators (whether machine or human) can handle. The growing amount of data gathered by the 1880 US Census (which took human tabulators 8 of the 10 years before the next census to compute) saw Herman Hollerith kickstart the data processing industry. He devised "Hollerith cards" (his personal brand of punchcard) and the keypunch, sorter, and tabulator unit record machines. The latter three machines were built for the sole purpose of crunching numbers, with the data represented by holes on the punch cards. Hollerith's Tabulating Machine Company was later merged with three other companies into International Business Machines (IBM), an enterprise that casts a long shadow over this history of databases.

## Punch Card Proliferation, Paper Data Reels & Data Drums



Among other initiatives, Thomas J. Watson Sr. insisted on well-groomed, dark-suited salesmen when leading <u>IBM</u> from 1914 onwards. | Image composite from <u>J.L. Jolley</u>, Data Study, 1968.

The revolution of data organization that punch cards instigated soon translated to domains other than governance, with companies eager to gain a competitive edge turning to this revolutionary means of restructuring their administration and services. From 1910 to the mid-1960s, punch cards and tabulating mechanisms were the prerequisite components of any office environment. All the while IBM continued to corner the market on large-scale, custom-built tabulating solutions for enterprise. Storage media diversified: In addition to punch cards, businesses began to incorporate reels of punched tape (which had long been used in textiles and player pianos) and later magnetic tape (just like audio cassette tapes, but with 1s and 0s in lieu of waveforms). These developments shared a common feature—the manner in which the data was recorded was instrumental in determining how it could then be accessed. Or in contemporary computer science parlance: Information retrieval was wholly dependent on how data is materially organized. Images (above) indicate clever mechanical means of quickly retrieving punch card information. In contrast, data tape required that one spool through to a particular location in order to retrieve a desired record.



## File Systems



Image from a paper presented by G. A. Barnard, III & L. Fein at the December 1958 eastern joint computer conference.

The file system was conceived as an overarching organizational paradigm that closely resembled that of a filing cabinet. Records were treated as discrete objects which could be placed in folders (or directories). These folders could themselves be placed in other folders, creating a hierarchy that terminated in a single directory which contained all records and child folders. One such early filesystem, the Electronic Recording Machine Accounting (ERMA) Mark 1, was developed to keep track of banking records and adopted an organizational <u>schema</u> similar to <u>Library Classification</u> systems. In this way every record (or book) was categorized broadly by topic, each of which were enumerated; those topics could then be further partitioned, at which point the subdivision was indicated by appending a secondary values.

# Dewey has a rich notational structure

#### The Lancashire cotton industry : a study in economic development

#### Assigned DDC Code: 338.4767721094276



1

Hierarchical Dewey decimal system notation | Image from <u>Dead Media Archive</u>.

## Database Management Systems



DBMS server client interaction | Image from <a href="http: www.unixspace.com="" context="" architecture.html"="" target="\_blank" class=" Hyphenator825hide">DBMS ConteXt.</a href="http:>

In the 1960s, as vendors began marketing computerized logistics technologies for manufacturing and wider laboratory use, we saw the advent of database management systems (DBMS). DBMSs, or the modern database, allowed users to marshal vast quantities of data. The arduous task of organizing records on the storage medium for optimal access was now handled by a subsystem called the database management system.

Two paradigms emerged, a Hierarchical model typified by IBM's Information Management System and the 'Network' model as epitomized in <u>Charles Bachman's Integrated Data Store</u>. Their respective uses indicate what serious business databases were becoming.

The former was developed in conjunction with the Apollo program to catalog the materials needed for the Saturn V moon rocket, and the latter the Apollo Space vehicle as well as General Electric's manufacturing operations.

The business impetus behind the field of data processing had begun to show in evidence: **CODASYL** (Conference on Data Systems Languages), the largest computing conference of the decade, rather than being composed of academic entities was composed of business enterprises like General Motors and US Steel.



digital economy.

Edgar Codd, then working at IBM's San Jose Research Laboratory in 1973, opened his soon-to-be revolutionary relational database model with the following declaration:

"Future users of large data banks must be protected from having to know how the data is organized in the machine (the internal representation)."

He was directly addressing the problems identified with the navigational paradigm: Any user had to navigate a significant amount of complexity to get at the data they were seeking. His model, articulated in <u>"A</u> <u>Relational Model of Data for Large Shared Data Banks,"</u> was a conceptual revolution: rather than conceiving of data as a simple means of organization, the database could now be used as a tool for querying data to find relations hidden within.

Relational databases separated data from applications accessing that data, enabling manipulation of information through the use of a query language, whereby selection of specific data could be performed efficiently through construction of statements containing logical operators.

IBM developed a prototype relational database model as early as 1974 called <u>System R</u>, which would later become the widely used Structured Query Language (SQL) database upon its release in 1981. However, Oracle (as "Relational Software, Inc.") were first to commercialize the technology in 1979, at which point the relational database became the dominant form of bulk storage of our

# NoSQL



Image courtesy <u>Thoughtworks</u>.

The typifying feature of NoSQL databases is essentially the rejection of the 'relational structuring of data' inherent to RDBMS. The recent impetus behind enterprises turning to NoSQL, commonly referred to as not only SQL, has been the latest explosion in transaction volume which must be recorded as so much commerce is conducted online. This in parallel with the boon of cheap online storage has popularized NoSQL. It makes a better friend of the ad-hoc changes and dynamism demanded by a growing enterprise than the relational database does. Creating a relational database involves research and consideration of what data conceivably needs to be tracked in order to construct a relational schema. However, if you're an agile App in startup mode, the NoSQL format allows you to voraciously hoard any and all points of data (even ones you hadn't imagined at the outset of setting up your database)—after all, you never know when it may be useful down the line.

The jury is undecided over whether NoSQL will supplant the relational model. The skepticism surrounding its candidacy illuminates a novel moment in this data history. One question begged of Big Data has been: Is anybody actually handling data big enough to merit a change to NoSQL architectures? This may be the first point in the history of databases that a data reservoir has found the world wanting in terms of incoming volumes of data.

Source: <a href="http://avant.org/project/history-of-databases/">http://avant.org/project/history-of-databases/</a>

#### **Classification of Database Management Systems**

Every DBMS has some native modeling element (NME). For example, in an RDBMS that NME is the relation (or table). Typically that NME is used to store everything in the DBMS. For example, in an RDBMS:

- User data is stored in tables.
- Indexes are implemented as tables which are joined back to the base tables.
- Administration information is stored in tables.
- Security is usually handled through tables and joins.
- Unusual data types (e.g., XML) are stored in "odd columns" in tables. (If your only model's a table, every problem looks like a column.)

In general, the more naturally the data you're storing maps to the paradigm (or NME) of the database, the better things will work.

Best way to classify DBMSs is by their native modeling element.

- In hierarchical databases, the NME is the hierarchy. Example: <u>IMS</u>.
- In network databases, it's the (directed, acyclic) graph. Example: IDMS.
- In relational databases, it's the **relation** (or, table). Example: <u>Oracle</u>.
- In object databases, it's the (typically C++) object class. Example: Versant.
- In multi-dimensional databases, it's the hypercube. Example: Essbase.
- In document databases, it's the document. Example: CouchDB.
- In key/value stores, it's the key/value pair. Example: <u>Redis</u>.
- In XML databases, it's the XML document. Example: MarkLogic.